UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE at CHATTANOOGA

| TAMMY YVONNE GRUBB, |) | |
|---------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | Case No. 1:12-cv-322 |
| V. |) | _ |
| |) | Judge Mattice |
| COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY | ,) | Magistrate Judge Carter |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

ORDER

On January 7, 2015, United States Magistrate William B. Carter filed his Report and Recommendation (Doc. 29) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). Magistrate Judge Carter recommended that (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 26) be denied; (2) Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 27) be granted; (3) the Decision of the Commissioner be affirmed; and (4) this action be dismissed.

Plaintiff has filed no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.¹ Nevertheless, the Court has conducted a reviewed the Report and Recommendation, as well as the record, and it agrees with Magistrate Judge Carter's well-reasoned conclusions.

which Plaintiff could timely file any objections has now expired.

¹ Magistrate Judge Carter specifically advised Plaintiff that she had 14 days in which to object to the Report and Recommendation and that failure to do so would waive her right to appeal. (Doc. 29 at 18 n.2); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 148-51 (1985) (noting that "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings"). Even taking into account the three additional days for service provided by Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d), the period in

Accordingly:

- The Court **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Carter's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations pursuant to § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b);
- Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 26) is **DENIED**;
- Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 27) is GRANTED;
- The decision of the Commissioner is **AFFIRMED**;
- This case is hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

SO ORDERED this 2nd day of February, 2015.

/s/ Harry S. Mattice, Jr.
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE